# **Texas Education Agency** 2014-15 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: HOUSTON EL Campus ID: 061901102 **District Name: DENTON ISD** 

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2014-15 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

										Two or						
	Statal	Diatriat	Campus	African	uio no ni		Americar		Pacific				E11	Fomolo	MalaM	iaront
STAAR Percen				Americani bove	піѕрапіс	evvnite	indian	Asian	isianuer	Races	Eu	Disauv	ELL	remaie	ewalew	igrant
Grade 3		0 .	0 0. 7.	2010												
Reading	2015 74%		89%	90%	70%	92%	-	*	-	*	100%	73%	71%	89%	90%	-
	2014 75%	80%	88%	72%	80%	96%	-	83%	-	*	93%	79%	78%	90%	87%	-
Mathematics	2015 74%	77%	95%	100%	80%	96%	_	*	_	*	*	82%	86%	97%	92%	_
	2014 69%	73%	91%	78%	87%	94%	-	100%	-	*	93%	76%	100%	88%	92%	-
Grade 4																
Reading	2015 71%	73%	82%	76%	73%	85%	-	83%	-	*	58%	68%	*	78%	86%	-
J	2014 73%	81%	90%	92%	92%	91%	-	78%	-	100%	93%	89%	*	93%	88%	-
Mathematics	s2015 71%	71%	84%	53%	75%	93%	_	100%	_	*	67%	57%	*	78%	90%	_
	2014 70%	74%	87%	58%	86%	91%	-	100%	-	*	87%	78%	86%	83%	91%	-
Writing	2015 67%	70%	89%	76%	75%	95%	_	100%	_	*	67%	71%	*	86%	92%	_
vviidiig	2014 72%	77%	88%	92%	86%	89%	-	78%	-	*	93%	79%	71%	91%		-
Out de E																
Grade 5 Reading	2015 83%	88%	87%	64%	83%	93%	_	86%	_	*	46%	78%	*	94%	81%	_
rteading	2014 86%	93%	95%	92%	79%	99%	-	88%	-	*	94%	82%	*	96%	93%	-
Mathematics	2015 75%	79%	90%	73%	92%	93%	_	86%	_	100%	54%	81%	*	92%	89%	_
Wat formation	2014 87%	92%	97%	92%	93%	99%	-	100%	-	*	94%	96%	86%	98%	96%	-
Science	2015 600/	74%	79%	64%	67%	85%	_	71%	_	*	46%	67%	*	86%	74%	
Science	2015 69% 2014 73%		89%	77%	71%	93%	-	100%	-	*	94%		71%	91%		-
All Grades	0045 700/	700/	070/	700/	770/	040/		000/		000/	000/	700/	000/	070/	000/	
All Subjects			87%	73%	77%	91%	-	89%	-	92% 92%	60%	72%	60%	87%	86%	-
	2014 75%	81%	91%	81%	84%	94%	-	90%	-	92%	93%	82%	78%	91%	90%	-
Reading	2015 74%	78%	86%	76%	76%	90%	-	88%	-	89%	60%	73%	56%	87%	85%	-
	2014 75%	81%	91%	84%	83%	95%	-	83%	-	100%	93%	84%	68%	93%	89%	-
Mathematics	s2015 73%	78%	89%	71%	82%	94%	-	94%	-	100%	63%	73%	71%	88%	90%	-
	2014 76%	82%	92%	77%	88%	95%	-	100%	-	89%	91%	83%	91%	90%	93%	-
Writing	2015 68%	74%	89%	76%	75%	95%	-	100%	_	*	67%	71%	*	86%	92%	_
3		79%	88%	92%	86%	89%	-	78%	-	*	93%	79%	71%	91%	84%	-
Science	2015 75%	80%	79%	64%	67%	85%	_	71%	_	*	46%	67%	*	86%	74%	_
00.000	2014 77%	81%	89%	77%	71%	93%	-	100%	-	*	94%		71%	91%		-
STAAR Percen	t at Final La	wal II a	· A hove													
All Grades	it at i illai Lt	. v & I II OI	ADOVE													
All Subjects			49%	26%	26%	56%	-	79%	-	52%	27%	30%		52%		-
	2014 39%	45%	52%	24%	33%	59%	-	70%	-	72%	43%	34%	31%	53%	51%	-
Reading	2015 40%	46%	52%	29%	30%	59%	-	76%	-	67%	23%	30%	25%	58%	46%	-
	2014 42%	49%	52%	26%	31%	59%	-	65%	-	78%	39%	37%	27%	54%	49%	-

20%

80%

0%

0%

16%

75%

7%

2%

43%

57%

0%

0%

% STAAR/EOC With No

% STAAR/EOC With

% STAAR Alternate2

% of Non-Participants

Accommodations

Accommodations

2015 13%

2015 74%

11%

1%

2015

2015

50%

50%

0%

0%

43%

57%

0%

0%

14%

86%

0%

0%

36%

64%

0%

0%

47%

53%

0%

0%

Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2014-15 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

								Two				
								or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	ELL+
Performance Status ‡			•								,	
Target	83%	83%	83%	83%					83%	83%	83%	
Reading	Υ	N	N	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N		n/a
Mathematics	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	Ν		n/a
Participation Status ‡												
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%					95%	95%		95%
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Υ	Υ	n/a	
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Υ	Υ	n/a	
Federal Graduation St	atus (Targ	get: See Re	ason Code	es)								
<b>Graduation Target</b>				•	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	
Met												
Reason Code ***					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	

#### **District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments**

Reading

Alternate 1%

**Number Proficient** 

Total Federal Cap

Limit

Mathematics

Alternate 1%

**Number Proficient** 

Total Federal Cap

Limit

- ‡' Results for grade 3-8 mathematics, STAAR A and STAAR Alternate 2 are included in 2015 Federal System Safeguards.
- Participation uses ELL (Current) rate, Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) rate

- a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%
- b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 83%
- c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the goal
- d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

'n/a' Indicates data are not applicable to this report.

Performance Rates ‡	All Students	African American	Hispanic		American Indian		Pacific Islander			•	ELL (Current & Monitored) ((	ELL Current)
Reading												
# at Phase-in Satisfactory	230	) 26	27	154	-	15	;	- 8	54	18	18	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	263	34	34	171	-	15	;	- 9	73	30	22	13
% at Phase-in Satisfactory	87%	76%	79%	90%	-	100%	ı	- 89%	74%	60%	82%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Phase-in Satisfactory	237	23	30	160	-	15	i	- 9	53	19	20	n/a
Standard								_				
Total Tests	264				-			- 9			23	14
% at Phase-in Satisfactory	90%	68%	86%	94%	-	100%	1	- 100%	73%	63%	87%	n/a
Standard												
Writing	83	3 11	11	54		**		,	19	8	8	n/a
# at Phase-in Satisfactory Standard	0.3	) 11	- 11	54	-			_	19	0	0	II/a
Glandard												

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*\*\*' Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data are not applicable to this report.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Ever	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort	Graduatio	n Rate (Gr	9-12): Cla	iss of 2	014							
Number Graduated	-	-	-				-			-		- n/a
Total in Class	-	-	_				-					
Graduation Rate	-	-	_				-			-		- n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort	Graduatio	n Rate (Gr	9-12): Cla	iss of 2	013							
Number Graduated	-	-	_				-			-		- n/a
Total in Class	-	-	_				-			-		
Graduation Rate	-	-	_				-			-		- n/a
5-year Extended Graduatio	n Rate (Gr	9-12): Cla	ss of 2013	3								
Number Graduated	-	-	_				-			-		- n/a
Total in Class	-	-	_				-			-		
Graduation Rate	-	-	-				-			-		- n/a

### **District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments** Reading

**Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a **Mathematics Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Source: 2015 Accountability Federal System Safeguards Report

<sup>&#</sup>x27;‡' '\*' Results for grade 3-8 mathematics, STAAR A and STAAR Alternate 2 are included in 2015 Federal System Safeguards.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data are not applicable to this report.

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 75%.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification:

No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

## Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.6%	0.9%
Bachelors	30.6	70.9%	70.3%	75.1%
Masters	12.6	29.1%	28.6%	23.4%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%

# Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High **Poverty Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

# **Low Poverty Core Academic Subject Areas**

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		29	0	29
Total Number of Classes		32	0	32
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	32	0	32
· · · · ·	Percent	100.00%		100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
- · · · · · ·	Percent	0.00%		0.00%

### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Teachers				
	Elem	secondary			
	(PK-6)	(7-12)			
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0			
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0			
Non-renewable	0	0			
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0			
District Teaching	0	0			
Temporary	0	0			

## Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers				
	General Education	Special Education			
Highly Qualified	0	0			
Not Highly Qualified	0	0			

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2011-12 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2012-13 academic year.

### Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

### State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
	•	•				Auvanceu
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	/
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36

Grade 8

	2014-131 6	suci ai Teport Cai	u		
	Black	24	76	29	2
	Hispanic	16	84	37	4
	White	7	93	60	15
	Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
	English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
	National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
· ·	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Asian	12	88	55	12
	Black	38	62	19	2
	Hispanic	35	65	19	1
	White	14	86	43	4
	Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
	English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
	National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Asian	5	95	67	25
	Black	43	57	16	2
	Hispanic	31	69	23	4
	White	12	88	48	12
	Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
	English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
	National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment